

2026 AHTF Home Repair Application Scoresheet

Agency: _____

Submitted By: _____

Scored By: _____

First Time Applicant

Returning Applicant

Is this project recommended for funding? Yes No

Project Request Amt \$ _____

Admin Request Amt \$ _____

Total: \$ _____

Has application fee been paid? Yes No

Scoring Summary

	<u>Max. Possible Points:</u>	<u>Points Awarded:</u>
Capacity Scorecard	(Point Deduction)	_____
Fair Housing	(Point Deduction)	_____
Threshold Requirements	(Point Deduction)	_____
Program Design	81	_____
Financial Design	40	_____
Ready to Proceed	35	_____
KHC Analysis	(Point Deduction)	_____
Total	156	_____

Comments: _____

Final Score: _____/156=_____%

2026 AHTF Home Repair Application Checklist

Applicants must have the following attachments:

- Summary of Sources and Uses Spreadsheet
- IRS 501 (c)(3) Determination Letter
- Additional Funding Sources Commitment Letters/ Supporting Documents
- Resume of ONE staff person working with program
- Resume of construction manager, if applicable

SECTION ONE: CAPACITY SCORECARD

1. KHC Capacity Scorecard (deductions up to -10 pts. max)

Total Point Deduction _____

2. Fair Housing: Applicant described each impediment of fair housing that was selected (deductions up to -5 pts. max)

Total Point Deduction _____

SECTION TWO: THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Did the applicant meet all KHC Threshold Requirements?
 Yes
 No
2. If no, did the applicant provide sufficient explanation to be allowed to proceed with application?
 Yes (-15 pts.) Application can proceed with Threshold Requirements failure deduction
 No Application is rejected

Total Point Deduction _____

SECTION THREE: PROGRAM DESIGN- 81 Max. Points


(PD Question 1) Applicant described organizations experience with home repair projects (including rate of success with project completion, with unit completion totals.) (10 pts. max)

- 10 pts. Applicant has over 5 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program and adequately described organizations experience
- 8 pts. Applicant has over 5 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program and somewhat described organizations experience
- 7 pts. Applicant has 3 to 5 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program and adequately described organizations experience
- 6 pts. Applicant has 3 to 5 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program and somewhat described organizations experience
- 3 pts. Applicant has 1 to 3 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program and adequately described organizations experience.
- 2 pts. Applicant has 1 to 3 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program and somewhat described organizations experience
- 0 pts. Applicant has less than 1 year demonstrated success administering a home repair program


(PD Question 2) Applicant demonstrated home repair production capacity via a reported average yearly production rate (8 pts. max)

- 8 pts. Average of 20+ units rehabbed per year
- 5 pts. Average of 14-19 units rehabbed per year
- 3 pts. Average of 7-13 units rehabbed per year
- 1 pt. Average of 1-6 units rehabbed per year
- 0 pts. No demonstrated past unit production


(PD Question 3-3a) Applicant described why they are targeting the specific population(s) that they have selected and how this population will be made aware of the program.




(PD Question 4) Applicant described project, including information about the targeted geography and surrounding neighborhood, characteristics, and other relevant program information (5 pts. max)



(PD Question 5) Applicant discussed agencies methods of evaluating potential Home Repair clients, evaluation of housing conditions, and execution of repair work write ups (20 pts. max)



(PD Question 6) Applicant describes how assisted homes will meet Minimum Habitability Standards (MHS) (5 pts. max)




(PD Question 7) Is number of units proposed reasonable given applicant's production capacity as demonstrated by past unit production history? (3 pts. max)

- 3 pts. Yes
- 0 pts. No

(PD Question 8) Percentage of units in a rural area(s) as designated by USDA Rural Development? **(Not Scored-for informational purposes only)**

(PD Question 10) Applicant described other partners who will be assisting with this project, and their relationship with the agency, including name of partnering agency, contact person, and how they will assist. (5 pts. max)




(PD Question 11-12) Applicant identified additional funding streams and documented commitment of additional funds for their Home Repair program (5 pts. max)

- 5 pts. 10% or more in additional funds
- 3 pts. 5% - 9.9% in additional funds
- 1 pt. 1%- 4.9% in additional funds
- 0 pts. Less than 1% OR no committed additional funding identified


(PD Question 16) Applicant attached documentation of additional funds from non-KHC funding sources for their Home Repair program if applicable (5 pts)

5 pts Applicant attached documentation for non-KHC funding sources
 0 pts Applicant identified additional funds from non-KHC sources but did not include attachments or does not have additional non KHC funding sources.

(PD Question 17-17a) Applicant described and explains in detail the counseling process for the Home Repair program, including a step-by-step process for working with homeowners and provided supporting documents, such as process descriptions, flyers, etc. (5 pts. max)



(PD Question 18) Applicant described pre-construction conference process, including participants and topics discussed (5 pts. max)




SECTION FOUR: FINANCIAL DESIGN- 40 Max. Points

(Summary of Sources and Uses attachment) Applicant's Summary of Sources and Uses appears to be correct (20 pts. max)

20 pts. Summary of Sources and Uses appeared correct; appears to be sufficient funds budgeted to undertake the project
 10 pts. Summary of Sources and Uses contained minor errors or inconsistencies with application narrative
 0 pts. Summary of Sources and Uses contained significant errors or discrepancies OR reveals there may not be sufficient funds to undertake the project.


(FD Question 1) Applicant uses KHC's imposed cap of \$25,000 per unit OR applicant explained why there is a cap less than \$25,000 per unit (5 pts. max)



(FD Question 2-3) Applicant has documented a line of credit of sufficient organizational capital to cover costs in excess of \$25,000 of construction costs per unit. (10 pts. max)


10 pts. Applicant has documented access to credit or capital to cover excess costs at an amount over 10% of requested funding
 7 pts. Applicant has documented access to credit or capital to cover excess costs at an amount 7.5%-10% of requested funding
 5 pts. Applicant has documented access to credit or capital to cover excess costs at an amount 5-7.4% of requested funding
 2 pts. Applicant has documented access to credit or capital to cover excess costs at an amount 1-4.9% of requested funding
 0 pts. Applicant does not have access to credit or capital to cover excess costs

(FD Question 4) Applicant describes how they are able to initiate the construction of the first unit and included plans for sustaining operations while waiting reimbursement (5 pts. max)




SECTION FIVE: READY TO PROCEED- 35 Max. Points


(RTP Question 1-1a) Applicant has an existing waiting list and describes the make-up of the list, including average incomes, and special populations. (5 pts. max)



(RTP Question 1b) If applicant does not have an existing waiting list, applicant explains when a waiting list will be started and how the applicant will find participants to add to the list. (3 pts. max)




(RTP Question 2) Applicant described marketing/outreach plan for the AHTF Home Repair Program, including target audiences, strategies, and how applicant will identify eligible candidates. (10 pts. max)



(RTP Question 3-3a) Applicant identified the primary person responsible for the day-to-day administration of the project and explained their responsibilities and experience administering this type of activity. (12 pts. max)

<input type="checkbox"/> 5 pts.	Person identified and described with resume submitted
<input type="checkbox"/> 3 pts.	Person identified and somewhat described with resume submitted
<input type="checkbox"/> 0 pts.	Person not identified or described and/or resume not submitted
<input type="checkbox"/> 5 pts.	Person has more than 2 years of experience administering a home repair program
<input type="checkbox"/> 3 pts.	Person has 1-2 years of experience administering a home repair program
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 pt.	Person has less than 1 year of experience administering a home repair program
<input type="checkbox"/> 0 pts.	Person has no experience administering a home repair program
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 pts.	Person has experience administering KHC HOME and/or AHTF projects
<input type="checkbox"/> 0 pts.	Person has no experience administering KHC HOME and/or AHTF projects

(RTP Question 4-4a) Applicant identified the construction manager and explained their responsibilities and experience managing this type of activity. (5 pts. max)



(RTP Question 5) Has the applicant had staff turnover in the past 24 months in their housing programs or administrative/executive staff? (**deductions** up to -5 pts. max)

Yes Determine point deduction based on answer to question 4a
 No No point deduction

(RTP Question 5a) If yes to question 7, did the applicant describe in detail what position(s) were affected, list name(s) of replacement(s), and describe their past housing experience? (**deductions** up to -5 pts. max)

- ____ pts. If applicant sufficiently described, deduct up to -5 pts. based on assessment of organizational capacity
 -5 pts. Applicant did not describe OR description lacked sufficient detail

(RTP Question 6) Applicant described any non-compliance issues with any KHC funding source within the past 5 years. (**deductions** up to -5 pts. max)

0 pts. Applicant has not had any home repair compliance issues within 5 years
 - ____ pts. Applicant sufficiently explained, deduct up to 5 pts. based on assessment of description
 -5 pts. Applicant did not describe OR description lacked sufficient detail

KHC ANALYSIS

Applicant followed project naming convention per application guidelines([Agency Name] 2026 Home Repair Application).

Yes No point deduction
 No -2 point deduction

KHC's evaluation of past agency performance, capacity, and monitoring results. (**deduction** up to -10 pts.)

Comments:

Total Point Deduction _____