## **2025** AHTF Home Repair Application Scoresheet

Agency:		
Submitted By:		
Scored By:		
☐First Time Applicant		
☐ Returning Applicant		
Is this project recommended for fun-	ding? □Yes □No	
Project Request Amt \$		
Admin Request Amt \$		
Total: \$	_	
Has application fee been paid?	□Yes □No  Scoring Summary	
	Max. Possible Points:	<b>Points Awarded:</b>
Capacity Scorecard Fair Housing	(Point Deduction) (Point Deduction)	
Threshold Requirements	(Point Deduction)	
Program Design Financial Design	86 40	
Ready to Proceed	35	
KHC Analysis <i>Total</i>	(Point Deduction) <b>161</b>	
1 viiii	101	
Comments:		

## **2025 AHTF Home Repair Application Checklist**

Applic	ants must have	the following attachments:				
	IRS 501 (c)(3) I Additional Fund Resume of ONE	rces and Uses Spreadsheet Determination Letter ing Sources Commitment Letters/ Supporting Documents staff person working with program ruction manager, if applicable				
SEC	TION ON	E: CAPACITY SCORECARD				
1.	KHC Capacity S	corecard (deductions up to -10 pts. max)				
			Total Point Deduction_			
2.	Fair Housing: A	pplicant described each impediment of fair housing that was	as selected (deductions up to -5 pts. max)			
			Total Point Deduction_			
SECT	TION TWO	: THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS				
1.		t meet all KHC Threshold Requirements?				
	□Yes					
2	□No	1				
2.		olicant provide sufficient explanation to be allowed to proce Application can proceed with Threshold Requirements fai				
	□ 1 es (-13 pts.) □ No	Application is rejected	nure deduction			
	шио	Application is rejected	<b>Total Point Deduction</b>			
			_			
SECT	TION THRI	EE: PROGRAM DESIGN- 86 Max. Poin	nts			
		nt described organizations experience with home repair proppletion totals.) (10 pts. max)	ojects (including rate of success with project			
_	$\square$ 10 pts.	Applicant has over 5 years demonstrated success administ	stering a home repair program			
	□ 7 pts.	Applicant has 3 to 5 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program				
	$\square$ 3 pts.	Applicant has 1 to 3 years demonstrated success administering a home repair program				
	$\square$ 0 pts.	Applicant has less than 1 year demonstrated success admi	inistering a home repair program			
(PD Qu	estion 2) Applica	nt demonstrated home repair production capacity via a repo	orted average yearly production rate (8 pts. ma	x)		
	□ 8 pts.	Average of 20+ units rehabbed per year				
	☐ 5 pts.	Average of 14-19 units rehabbed per year				
	$\square$ 3 pts.	Average of 7-13 units rehabbed per year				
	□ 1 pt.	Average of 1-6 units rehabbed per year				
	$\square$ 0 pts.	No demonstrated past unit production				
populat		licant described why they are targeting the specific population of the program.	tion(s) that they have selected and how this			
Appli	cant described	Applicant somewhat described	Applicant 's description lacked sufficient detail			

characteristics, and other	er relevant p	rogram	ect, including information a information (5 pts. max)					
Applicant descri			Applicant somewhat described				ption lacked detail	
and execution of repair	work write	ups (20	ncies methods of evaluating pts. max)	_		_		
Applicant descri			Applicant somewhat de				s description lacked deta	
,			assisted homes will meet N	2-		•		
Applicant des	cribed		Applicant somewhat desc	ribed		Applicant's des	scription lacked detail	
ourposes only)  PD Question 10) App	licant descri	ibed oth	ural area(s) as designated be er partners who will be ass act person, and how they w	isting wi	th this pro	oject, and their		
		-	3				[	0
Applicant de	escribed		Applicant somewhat de	scribed		Applicant's	Description lacked detail	il
PD Question 11) App ☐ 5 pts. ☐ 0 pts.	Applica	nt ident	litional funding streams for ified additional funding strunding streams identified		me Repa	ir program (5 <sub>]</sub>	ots. max)	
PD Question 12) App 5 pts. max)	licant has do	ocumen	ted commitment of addition	nal funds	from fun	ding sources f	or their Home Repai	ir prograi
□ 5 pts.		10% or more in additional funds						
□ 3 pts.		5% - 9.9% in additional funds						
□ 1 pt. □ 0 pts.		1%- 4.9% in additional funds Less than 1% OR no committed additional funding identified						
•	licant attach 5 pts) Applica funding	ned docu nt attacl sources	nmentation of additional fu	nds from -KHC fu	non-KH0	C funding sour	have additional nor	•
PD Question 17-17a)	Applicant d	lescribe	d and explains in detail the leowners and provided sup	counseli	ng proces	ss for the Hom	e Repair program, in	
5	4		3	2		1-		0
Applicant describe explained	ed and	Aı	oplicant somewhat described and explained		Applicant d		Applicant does not have a counseling	

Applicant described			Applicant somewhat described			Applicant's description lacked sufficient detail	
						lacked sufficient detail	
SECTION FOU	J <b>R: FINA</b> I	NCIAL :	DESIGN- 40 M	ax. Points			
(Summary of Sources	and Uses atta	chment) A <sub>l</sub>	pplicant's Summary of	Sources and Us	es appear	rs to be correct (20 pts. r	nax)
□ 20 pts.	Summary of Sources and Uses appeared correct; appears to be sufficient funds budgeted to undertak project						dertake tl
□ 10 pts.	Summary of Sources and Uses contained minor errors or inconsistencies with application narrati						ative
$\square$ 0 pts.	Summary of Sources and Uses contained significant errors or discrepancies OR reveals there may not be sufficient funds to undertake the project.						
(FD Question 1) Appl per unit (5 pts. max)	icant uses KHC	's imposed	cap of \$15,000 per uni	t OR applicant e	explained	why there is a cap less	than \$15,
5	4		3	2		1	0
Applicant does not have adequate explanation of imposed amount is i	why a cap less tha	n the KHC	Somewhat explained less than KHC's impo			Applicant did not describe or explain	
(FD Question 2-3) Ap construction costs per □ 10 pts.	unit. (10 pts. m	ax) as docume		_		to cover costs in excess osts at an amount over 1	
□ 7 pts.	Applicant has documented access to credit or capital to cover excess costs at an amount 7.5%-10% of requested funding					10% of	
$\Box$ 5 pts.	Applicant has documented access to credit or capital to cover excess costs at an amount 5-7.4% of requested funding				% of		
□ 2 pts.	Applicant has documented access to credit or capital to cover excess costs at an amount 1-4.9% or requested funding			% of			
$\square$ 0 pts.	Applicant of	loes not hav	ve access to credit or ca	ipital to cover ex	cess cost	S	
(FD Question 4) Appl operations while waiting				onstruction of the	e first uni	t and included plans for	sustainin
5	4		3	2		1	0
Applicant described		Aŗ	Applicant somewhat described		Applicant did not describe OR description lacked sufficient detail		
SECTION FIV	E: READY	TO PI	ROCEED- 35 M	Iax. Points			
(RTP Question 1-1a)	Applicant has a				of the list,	including average inco	mes, and
	Applicant has a pts. max)	n existing v	waiting list and describe	es the make-up o		including average inco	

the applicant will find p	•	d to the list. (3 pts. max		1			0
Applicant has an existing waiting list OR described how they would implement a waiting list			Applicant did not adequately describe				
(RTP Question 2) App strategies, and how app					epair Progr	am, including targ	et audiences,
109	8	76	5	4	3	2	10
Applicant des	scribed	Applicant	t somewhat desc	ribed		Applicant's	s description
(RTP Question 3-3a) A explained their respons			-	•	•	nistration of the pr	oject and
□ 5 pts.	Person identi	ified and described with	h resume sub	mitted			
$\square$ 3 pts.	Person identi	ified and somewhat des	scribed with r	esume subm	nitted		
$\square$ 0 pts.	Person not ic	lentified or described an	nd/or resume	not submitt	ed		
□ 5 pts.	Person has m	nore than 2 years of exp	perience admi	nistering a l	nome repair	program	
$\square$ 3 pts.		• •		•	-		
☐ 1 pt.	Person has 1-2 years of experience administering a home repair program  Person has less than 1 year of experience administering a home repair program						
$\square$ 0 pts.	Person has n	Person has no experience administering a home repair program					
□ 2 pts.	Person has e	xperience administering	g KHC HOM	F and/or ΔI	HTF project	te	
$\Box$ 2 pts. $\Box$ 0 pts.		o experience administering	_				
ype of activity. (5 pts.		ied the construction ma		_	_	1	
Person identified with re described	esume and	Person identified an described			Applicar	nt did not describe OR explain.	
(RTP Question 5) Has staff? (deductions up to ☐ Yes ☐ No	o -5 pts. max)	d staff turnover in the point deduction based on luction			ousing prog	rams or administra	utive/executive
•	•	, did the applicant desc nousing experience? (de		-		affected, list name	(s) of
□pts. □ -5 pts.		sufficiently described, d d not describe OR descri	_	_		nent of organization	nal capacity
(RTP Question 6) App up to -5 pts. max)	olicant described	any non-compliance is	sues with any	KHC fund	ing source	within the past 5 ye	ears. (deduction
□ 0 pts. □pts.	Applicant su	s not had any home rep fficiently explained, de	duct up to 5 p	ots. based or	n assessmer		
$\square$ -5 pts.	Applicant die	d not describe OR descri	ription lacked	d sufficient of	letail		

(RTP Question 1b) If applicant does not have an existing waiting list, applicant explains when a waiting list will be started and how

<b>KHC ANALY</b>	<b>'SIS</b>	
Applicant followed p	project naming convention per application	guidelines
☐ Yes	No point deduction	
□ No	-2 point deduction	
Comments:	past agency performance, capacity, and	monitoring results. ( <b>deduction</b> up to -10 pts.)
		Total Point Deduction_